

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,414	04/03/2001	Alan Collmer	19603/3243 (CRF 2043 D-2601C)		
75	90 02/11/2003				
Michael L. Goldman			EXAMINER		
NIXON PEABO Clinton Square			MAYES, LAURIE A		
P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, NY 14603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1653	9	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/825,414		COLLMER ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	J.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Laurie Mayes		1653			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_	sh et with th	<u></u>			
Period for	r Reply						
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory mining will apply and will expire SI cause the application to least the application the application to least the application to least the application to least the application the ap	er, may a reply be tin num of thirty (30) day X (6) MONTHS from pecome ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 l	December 2002 .					
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-fin	al.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for for	mal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is			
-	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		1935 C.D. 11, 4	400 O.G. 210.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	tion.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
, _	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) 7-9 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
• •	ion Papers The appeirsoction is objected to by the Examine	בר בר		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted of b) the by the Examinar. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmer		<u>-</u>					
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· ==		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 7-9 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims are closely related and therefore require common areas of search and consideration. The applicant also argues that SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 66 should be examined together because they are closely related as evidenced by their 78% alignment match. This is not found persuasive because the claims are not closely related. The claims are drawn to distinct inventions such as an expression system comprising nucleic acids, cells and transformed plants, a protein, a method of transforming a plant with a nucleic acid, a method of preventing disease in plants by administering a protein, methods of making a plant hypersusceptible to colonization by bacteria by transformation with nucleic acids or proteins, and methods of inducing cell death with a protein, a method of treating cancer with a protein and nucleic acid. SEQ ID NO: 7 and SEQ ID NO: 66 differ by 22% and result in different structures and functions and are different inventions. Therefore, the restriction requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-6 and 10-37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8.

Claim Objections

Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent from non-elected claims. Please rewrite claim 7 in appropriate independent format.

SEQ ID NO: 7 was disclosed in the earlier application filed on April 4, 2000 and is therefore entitled to the April 4, 2000 priority date.

Art Unit: 1653

Page 3

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 8 uses the language "SEQ.ID. No. ____." The use of more than one period in a claim is not permitted. The applicant may rewrite this language as follows: "SEQ ID NO: ____." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Charkowski et al. Charkowski et al. teach that among the extracellular proteins found and isolated from Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 in a prior experiment is the protein HopPtoA which is encoded by the hopPtoA gene (Charkowski et al., lines 7-12). SEQ ID NO: 7 of claims 7 and 8 is the amino acid sequence for the protein encoded by HopPtoA (see specification p. 21). Therefore, the protein comprising SEQ ID NO:7 in claims 7 and 8 are anticipated by Charkowski et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuan et al. (J. Bacteriology, V. 178, No. 21, p. 6399-6402 (1996)) in view of Charkowski et al (Phytopathology 87 (6 Suppl.): pS17 (1997)). Yuan et al. discloses that Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC 3000 produces seven to eight major extracellular proteins in a medium inducing hrp genes (p. 6399, 1st para. summary). Yuan et al. determined the N-terminal amino acid sequence of a 60 kDa protein secreted by the Hrp system (p. 6399, col. 1, last para. and p.6400, col. 2, second para.). Yuan et al. also disclose these extracellular proteins in a carrier, namely, a medium fraction (p. 6400, col. 2, 1st para.) and disclose that these proteins play a role in regulating the hypersensitive response in plants (p. 6399, col. 1, 1st para.). Yuan et al. fails to specifically disclose that the protein HopPtoA which is encoded by the hopPtoA gene is one the extracellular proteins found. Charkowski et al. do disclose that among the extracellular proteins found is the protein HopPtoA which is encoded by the hopPtoA gene (Charkowski et al., lines 7-12). SEQ ID NO: 7 of claims 7-9 is the amino acid sequence for the protein encoded by HopPtoA (see specification p. 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to add a carrier to the protein for laboratory purposes as taught in Yuan et al. or to regulate the hypersensitive response (HR) in plants as Yuan et al. teach the role of this protein in such HR regulation.

No claim is allowed.

Charkowski et al. (J. Bacteriology, V180, N. 19, 1998, p. 5211-5217; see IDS paper # 5) is cited as disclosing that the peptide disclosed in the Charkowski et al. reference from the Phytopathology journal has the identical sequence of SEQ ID NO: 7, and the Charkowski et al.

Art Unit: 1653

Journal of Bacteriology reference refers to the material of the Charkowski et al. from the Phytopathology journal reference. (See J. Bacteriology at page. 5217.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie Mayes whose telephone number is (703) 605-1208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (703) 305-2923. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1123.

Laurie Mayes

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1653

February 6, 2003

Christopher S. T. low

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600